



## Research Paper

# Investigating the Effects of Flunixin Meglumine and Meloxicam on Humoral Immune Responses Against *E. Coli* and *T. pyogenes* in Postpartum Dairy Cows



Seyyad Mojtaba Emam<sup>1</sup> , Saad Gooraninejad<sup>1\*</sup> , Mohammad Khosravi<sup>2</sup> , Behrooz Mihandoost<sup>1</sup> , Mohammad Nouri<sup>1</sup>

1. Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.

2. Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.



Use your device to scan  
and read the article online  
**How to cite this article** Emam SM, Gooraninejad S, Khosravi M, Mihandoost B. Investigating the Effects of Flunixin Meglumine and Meloxicam on Humoral Immune Responses Against *E. Coli* and *T. pyogenes* in Postpartum Dairy Cows. *Archives of Razi Institute Journal*. 2025; 80(6):1611-1620. <https://doi.org/10.32598/ARI.80.6.3475>

<https://doi.org/10.32598/ARI.80.6.3475>

### Article info:

Received: 23 Mar 2025

Accepted: 25 Jun 2025

Published: 01 Nov 2025

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Disruption of the endometrial barrier after gestation leads to devastating effects on the cow's reproductive system. However, the accumulating immune responses help repair and defend the endometrium against microbial infections. Nevertheless, excessive inflammation could lead to chronic inflammation and consequently result in reproductive issues. The administration of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) can reduce the harmful effects of excessive inflammation, modulate the immune response, and improve reproductive indices in postpartum dairy cows. The aim of this study is to evaluate the side effects of NSAID administration on humoral immune responses, which could potentially inform the development of more effective treatment strategies for postpartum cows.

**Materials & Methods:** The study involved a total of 60 apparently healthy cows, which were divided into three groups: Flunixin meglumine, meloxicam, and control. The test groups were treated at 3 and 8 days after calving. To assess the humoral immune responses, the IgG and IgM titers against *Escherichia coli* and *Trueperella pyogenes*, were determined on day 4, 9, and 15 after gestations.

**Results:** The results of the study showed that the drugs did not significantly affect the total specific and nonspecific antibody levels against tested pathogens. A time-dependent decrease and increase in the levels of IgM and IgG antibodies against *E. coli* and *T. pyogenes* were observed in all groups.

**Conclusion:** The results showed a reduction in IgM and IgG titers against both pathogens after treatment with meloxicam and flunixin, respectively. However, the elevation of IgG and IgM titers suggested that the treatment affected antibody isotype switching rather than suppressing humoral immune responses.

### Keywords:

Antibody, Cattle, Flunixin meglumine, Meloxicam, Postpartum

\* Corresponding Author:

Saad Gooraninejad, Professor.

Address: Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.

E-mail: [goorani\\_s@scu.ac.ir](mailto:goorani_s@scu.ac.ir)



Copyright © 2025 The Author(s);

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

Noncommercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

The postpartum period is when the reproductive system returns to its normal state before conception. In non-seasonal polyestrous species such as cattle, the normality of the postpartum period is crucial because it enables livestock breeders to re-conceive as soon as possible after delivery. Disruption during this period leads to devastating effects on the efficiency of the cow's reproductive system. The anatomical barriers of the bovine reproductive system are the most critical defense mechanisms against ascending microbial infections, which become compromised during and after delivery, allowing pathogenic agents to enter the uterus. Immunity against pathogens depends on the resistance of tissues and uterine cells to infection, including the endometrial mucosal layer, lysozyme, antimicrobial peptides, and mucus glycoproteins. As bacteria overcome the resistance of tissues in the uterus, innate and acquired immunity help to limit the growing infection [1].

The most commonly isolated bacteria from animals with uterine disease are *Escherichia coli*, *Trueperella pyogenes*, *Fusobacterium necrophorum*, *Prevotella*, and *Bacteroides* species. The role of *E. coli* and *T. pyogenes* in uterine diseases has been demonstrated by experimentally introducing these bacteria into the uterus of native cows to produce animal models of endometritis. Uterine-specific strains of these pathogens possess virulence factors that enable them to bind to and attack bovine endometrial epithelial and stromal cells [1]. The onset of uterine inflammation after calving is strongly linked to *E. coli*, and its presence during the postpartum period increases the risk of metritis and endometritis. *T. pyogenes* has also been widely isolated from cows with metritis and plays an important role in the etiopathogenesis of endometritis [2].

Many dairy cows develop metabolic or infectious diseases during the first month of lactation, depending on different management systems and milk production. Disease occurrence during the transition period is associated with impaired immune systems. Inadequate immune responses can lead to poor animal health. The risk of retained fetal membranes, metritis, endometritis, and mastitis increases in cows that cannot produce an appropriate immune response when exposed to pathogens [1]. Humoral components of the immune system, such as natural antibodies and total immunoglobulins, have been used as reliable indicators of immune competence in dairy cows [3].

The lymphoid follicles of the uterus subepithelial stroma are likely the inductive site where immune cells are activated and antibody response occurs during uterine infections. Systemic immunity against pathogenic factors and inactive cells, such as *E. coli*, *T. pyogenes*, and *F. necrophorum*, increases serum IgG levels against these antigens, consequently reducing the risk of metritis [2]. Previous studies have suggested that the infiltration of polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNL) into the endometrial surface and the uterine wall is essential for the immune defense of the uterus [1]. Although immunoglobulins are not necessary for the phagocytosis of bacteria by PMNL, they significantly enhance PMNL phagocytic activity. Previous studies have suggested that natural antibodies can neutralize pathogens directly and activate the complement system, thereby reducing the bacterial presence in the uterus after parturition [4].

Therefore, it helps limit uterine infections and consequently reduces the occurrence of metritis and clinical endometritis. The notion that antibodies are crucial in resisting uterine diseases has been supported by another study showing that systemic immunization against intrauterine pathogens led to an increase in serum levels of specific antibodies and a decrease in the occurrence of metritis [5].

Excessive systemic inflammation around calving can negatively affect milk production, animal health, and the efficiency of the reproductive system in dairy cows. There are some methods to modulate immune response and minimize the adverse effects of postpartum systemic inflammation. One approach that has gained significant attention in recent years is the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) after delivery. In a survey, eighty percent of the veterinarians in the United States reported prescribing NSAIDs in over 50% of dystocia cases [6].

However, the treatment of dairy cows with NSAIDs after parturition shows promising results. However, comparing studies with each other is complex due to the use of different drugs, variations in the timing of administration, and differences in dosage. Therefore, further studies are needed to determine the most appropriate NSAIDs and optimal administration time. Treatment with NSAIDs can affect transcription factors such as NF- $\kappa$ B, which regulate the expression of many inflammatory genes involved in inflammation, including *TNF- $\alpha$*  and interleukin-1 $\beta$  (*IL-1 $\beta$* ) [7]. Meloxicam selectively inhibits cyclooxygenase-2, exhibits 100% average bioactivity, and has a half-life of 27 hours. Flunixin meglumine non-selectively inhibits cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, has an average bioactivity of 76%, and a half-life ranging from 3.1 to 26 hours [8].

Therefore, NSAID administration can reduce the harmful effects of continuous excessive inflammation, modulate the immune response, and improve reproductive indices in postpartum dairy cows. However, the potential side effects of this administration on humoral immunity require further investigation. This study aimed to investigate the impact of different NSAIDs on humoral immune responses against *E. coli* and *T. pyogenes* in postpartum dairy cows.

## 2. Materials and Methods

This study was conducted at the Animal Husbandry and Agriculture unit of FKA, where approximately 14,000 Friesian Holstein cows are kept in Isfahan Province, Iran. Enrollment occurred from August 2023 to September 2023. In this study, 60 healthy cows in their second, third, or fourth parturition were divided into three groups with 20 cows in each group. Cows with dystocia, twinning, mastitis, lameness, retained placenta, or any other clinical diseases were excluded from this study.

- Flunixin meglumine was administered intravenously (IV) at a dose of 2.2 mg/kg on days 3 and 8 postpartum (Figure 1).

- The meloxicam group (0.5 mg/kg) received IV injections on days 3 and 8 postpartum.

- Control group: This group did not receive any drug injections.

### 2.1. Sampling

Blood samples were collected from each cow on day 4 (24 hours after the first administration), day 9 (24 hours after the second administration), and day 15 after calving (Figure 1). Samples were collected using a gel-free clot activator vacuum blood collection tube and a 21-gauge needle from the coccygeal vein. After collecting the samples, blood tubes were promptly transferred to the farm's laboratory. To obtain serum, the tubes containing blood were centrifuged at 1200 g for 10 minutes. The resulting serum was then transferred to 2 mL microtubes

and stored in a freezer at -20 °C until the sampling was completed. After collecting all the samples, the serum samples were placed in a cooler box with dry ice and transferred to the immunology laboratory of Veterinary Medicine at Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz where samples were then stored in a -20 °C freezer until analysis.

### 2.2. Preparing bacterial antigens

*E. coli* and *T. pyogenes* strains were obtained from the archives of the Microbiology Department at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine. These strains were characterized using biochemical and bacteriological tests. Frozen isolates (-80 °C) were cultured separately on blood agar medium containing 5% sheep blood for 24 - 48 hours in a 37 °C incubator. After confirming purity using sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS), suspensions of *E. coli* and *T. pyogenes*, with concentrations of approximately 4 McFarland units ( $12 \times 10^8$  CFU/mL), were prepared simultaneously. To inactivate these bacteria, suspensions were stored in PBS containing 1% formalin at room temperature for 24 hours. Formalin was removed by washing the suspensions three times with PBS solution. The absence of bacterial growth after 24 hours of culture under the previous conditions indicates bacterial inactivation [9]. The antigen solutions were sonicated ten times for 15 seconds each (product number 4B200, Sigma-Aldrich). The prepared antigens were transferred to a -20 °C freezer [10].

### 2.3. Preparation of specific antibodies

The *E. coli* suspension (0.5 mL) was mixed with 0.5 mL of complete Freund's adjuvant and injected intramuscularly (IM) into the thigh muscle of 2 rabbits. Subsequently, four booster injections were administered at 10-day intervals, each consisting of 0.5 mL of *E. coli* suspension with 0.5 mL of incomplete Freund's adjuvant to enhance antibody titer. After confirming the appropriate antibody levels using indirect enzyme linked immuno sorbent assay (ELISA) method, blood samples were collected from the rabbit's ear vein, and the hyperimmune serum was stored in a freezer at -20 °C. To produce hy-




Figure 1. the stages of the manipulations of cows

perimmune serum against *T. pyogenes*, the above procedure was performed simultaneously by injecting inactivated *T. pyogenes* suspension into two other rabbits [9].

Isolation of IgG antibodies from hyperimmune rabbit serum against *E. coli* and *T. pyogenes* was performed using the ammonium sulfate precipitation method. Four milliliters of saturated ammonium sulfate solution were added to a 50 mL beaker containing 8 mL of hyperimmune serum on ice. The mixture was placed on a shaker set at 90 rpm and was thoroughly mixed with the serum for 30 minutes. The solution was centrifuged for 15 minutes at 1200 g, and the supernatant was discarded. The remaining sediment was combined with a 40% ammonium sulfate solution. It was centrifuged again as before, and the supernatant was discarded. The remaining sediment was dissolved in 0.02 M phosphate buffer (PB) with a pH of 7.2. Finally, for the purification of the salt, the sediment of ammonium sulfate in PB was dialyzed for 48 hours. Chromatography of ion exchange was performed using DEAE-cellulose powder.

After soaking DEAE-cellulose powder in a 0.02 M PB for 24 hours, the soaked powder was placed in a column and washed with a phosphate buffer containing 1 M KCl, followed by washing with a 0.02 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2). The dialyzed solution was transferred to the pillar and left undisturbed for 2 hours. The column was washed with 0.02 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2), and the IgG fraction obtained was collected in 2 mL microtubes. Finally, after checking the purity and yield percentage, the microtubes were transferred to a -20 °C freezer [10]. The purity of the isolated antibodies was determined through electrophoresis on a polyamide gel [9].

#### 2.4. Evaluation of *E. coli* and *T. pyogenes* total antibody titer

For this purpose, an in-house indirect competitive ELISA was used. The above-mentioned *E. coli* suspension (10 µg/mL) was diluted with carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (pH=9.5) 100 µL of this diluted antigen solution was added to each ELISA plate well and stored at 4 °C for 24 hours for coating. After that, the samples were washed three times with PBS-Tween 20. Subsequently, 250 µL of 4% skimmed milk was added to each well for blocking. The samples were stored overnight at 4 °C and washed three times as previously described. In a separate plate, 2 µL of bovine serum was diluted with 78 µL of PBS in each well and then immediately added to the ELISA plate by multichannel sampler. Subsequently, 20 µL of rabbit anti-*E. Coli* IgG with a 20 µg/mL concentration was added to each well.

The plate was then stored at room temperature for 1 hour, resulting in a final concentration of 1/50 for both rabbit IgG and bovine serum. After rinsing the plate, 100 µL of anti-rabbit antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase was added to each well and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour. After five washes, 75 µL of TMB solution was added to each well. Ten minutes later, 75 µL of the stop solution (2 M sulfuric acid with pH=3) was added to each well to halt the reaction. The optical absorption values were then promptly measured using a spectrophotometric method in an ELISA reader (AccuReader®) at the wavelength of 450 nm. A sample containing rabbit anti-*E. Coli* IgG with a concentration of 20 µg/mL was used as a positive control. For the detection of antibody titer against *T. pyogenes*, the stages were similar to those *E. coli*; instead of using an *E. coli* suspension, a *T. pyogenes* suspension and *T. pyogenes* IgG were utilized [9].

#### 2.5. Detection of IgG titer against *E. coli* and *T. pyogenes*

For this purpose, an ELISA test was performed to detect specific antibody against both bacteria, following the method described above. Serum samples were diluted with PBS containing 0.1 M β-mercaptopropanoic acid at 1/40. The diluted serum samples were then incubated for 1 hour on a separate plate at room temperature to degrade IgMs. We measured the specific IgM levels by reducing the specific IgG levels from total specific antibody levels [11].

#### 2.6. Total serum immunoglobulin levels

Total serum immunoglobulin level was evaluated using a modified zinc sulfate precipitation method. A 0.7 mM zinc sulfate buffer (pH 5.8) was prepared. Ten microliters of each serum sample were poured into a 96-chamber plate, and then 190 µL of 0.7 mM zinc sulfate buffer with pH 5.8 was added in each well and mixed well with the serum sample. For the positive control, samples were taken from the control groups, mixed, added to the plate simultaneously, and read according to the mentioned method [12].

#### 2.7. Statistical analysis

The immunoglobulin levels were analyzed at various time points post-parturition using repeated measures method. Also, group comparisons at specific time points were conducted using the one-way ANOVA method with Tukey's post hoc test between the groups using SPSS software, version 27. The P<0.05 was considered significant. Diagrams were drawn using Excel software version 19 (Microsoft).

### 3. Results

The mean titers of total of specific immunoglobulin antibody, IgG, and IgM against *E. coli* and *T. pyogenes* are separately presented in [Figure 2](#). The total specific antibody level against *E. coli* showed no significant differences among flunixin, meloxicam, and control groups at any time point ( $P=0.798$ ,  $0.745$ , and  $0.885$ , respectively). Group comparisons at specific times on days 4, 9, and 15 also indicated no significant differences between groups ( $P=0.838$ ,  $0.717$ , and  $0.219$ , respectively). Comparison of IgG concentration against *E. coli* in groups at different times revealed a significant increase in the meloxicam group ( $P=0.032$ ) and control group ( $P=0.034$ ), while this increase wasn't significant in the flunixin group ( $P=0.122$ ). Group comparisons on days 4 ( $P=0.579$ ) and 9 ( $P=0.564$ ) showed no significant difference, but we observed a significant difference on day 15 between all groups ( $P=0.022$ ), as well as a significant difference between flunixin and control group ( $P=0.031$ ). A time-dependent decrease in IgM against *E. coli* was observed in all three groups. This decrease was significant in the meloxicam group ( $P=0.004$ ), while no significant difference was noted in the flunixin ( $P=0.352$ ) and control groups ( $P=0.053$ ). On day 4, we observed no significant difference ( $P=0.853$ ), while one was revealed on day 9 between all groups ( $P=0.036$ ) and also between the flunixin and meloxicam group ( $P=0.031$ ).

On day 15, we observed significant differences between 3 groups ( $P=0.002$ ), as well as the flunixin group against the meloxicam group ( $P=0.002$ ) and control group ( $P=0.039$ ).

Total specific antibody levels against *T. pyogenes*, increased over time in the meloxicam ( $P=0.516$ ) and control groups ( $P=0.072$ ) at the time of calving, while in the flunixin group it remained almost unchanged ( $P=0.923$ ). The total specific antibody titers against *T. pyogenes* at a specific time on days 4 ( $P=0.435$ ), 9 ( $P=0.538$ ), and 15 ( $P=0.425$ ) demonstrated no significant differences between groups. IgG titers on days 4, 9, and 15 did not differ significantly among the three groups ( $P=0.985$ ,  $0.261$ , and  $0.703$ , respectively). However, within each group, the IgG titer showed a significant increase over time ( $P=0.012$  in the flunixin group,  $P=0.003$  in the meloxicam group, and  $P=0.001$  in the control group). IgM levels of all three groups on day 15 were lower than day 9, and day 9 was lower than day 4 postpartum. These decreases were significant in all three groups ( $P=0.001$  in the flunixin and meloxicam groups, and  $P=0.002$  in the control group). IgM levels on days 4 ( $P=0.544$ ) and 9 ( $P=0.179$ ) were not significant between groups, while

there was a significant difference between 3 groups on day 15 ( $P=0.012$ ) and also between meloxicam and control groups ( $P=0.009$ ).


The results of the total immunoglobulin levels, which were measured using the zinc sulfate turbidity test (ZST), are shown in [Figure 3](#). The total serum immunoglobulin level in the flunixin group increased, but was insignificant ( $P=0.364$ ). In contrast, there was a significant decrease in the immunoglobulin levels in the control group ( $P=0.031$ ), especially between days 4 and 9. However, the immunoglobulin titer in the meloxicam group decreased ( $P=0.272$ ). The immunoglobulin titers at specific times on day 4 ( $P=0.069$ ), day 9 ( $P=0.559$ ), and day 15 ( $P=0.205$ ) did not show significant differences among all three groups.

### 4. Discussion

The treatment of dairy cows with NSAIDs after calving is promising, but, due to the use of different drugs, administration time and the dosage used make comparing between studies with complicated. Therefore, the selection of the most appropriate NSAID, dosage and administration time requires more studies [\[13\]](#). The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of administration of two different NSAIDs on humoral immunity of postpartum dairy cows after parturition in different days, dosage and method of injection from previous studies.


The anatomical barriers of the bovine reproductive system are the most critical defense factors for preventing ascending microbial infections that can occur during and after calving, allowing pathogenic agents to enter the uterus. Furthermore, an important concept is that all normal, healthy animals have a substantial load of bacteria in the uterus after normal parturition. Hence, contamination of the uterus after calving is unavoidable. It has been suggested that *E. coli* initiates the infectious process in the postpartum uterus. Its presence in the uterus a few days after parturition is associated with an increased risk of metritis and endometritis. However, *T. pyogenes* has been consistently isolated from the uterus of cows affected with metritis [\[2\]](#).

Previous research has shown that total serum IgG levels increased from parturition to the fourth week, while total serum IgM levels remained relatively constant during these four weeks. Based on the results of our study, the level of specific IgG against *T. pyogenes* and *E. coli* was in agreement with the mentioned study. The related titers were significantly higher on the day 15 postpartum than on day 4 postpartum. However, the levels of



**Figure 2.** The mean immunoglobulin±standard deviation titer of total specific antibody IgG, and IgM against *E. coli* and *T. pyogenes* in treated cows treated by flunixin, meloxicam, and control groups

\*Significant difference between groups ( $P<0.05$ ).



**Figure 3.** The total immunoglobulin levels of the cows treated with flunixin, meloxicam, and control groups

\*Significant difference between groups ( $P < 0.05$ ).

specific IgM against the mentioned bacteria decreased in all groups, which were significant in all groups against *T. pyogenes* and in the meloxicam and control groups against *E. coli* [3]. NSAIDs are widely used in veterinary medicine to relieve pain and treat serious inflammatory diseases. A wide range of NSAIDs reduced the synthesis of antibodies in humans, particularly Ibuprofen, which tends to inhibit Cox-2 [14]. In our study, there was no significant difference in the level of total specific and non-specific antibodies between flunixin, meloxicam, and control groups at the same time, which is contrary to this study's finding that NSAID administration is associated with decreased antibody levels.

In one study, meloxicam administration before calving resulted in more milk production with no effects on health; although the treated animals were less active than the control group, so they suggested treatment of the cattle before parturition [15]. According to our aims, the first inflammatory responses in the uterus are required for the development of the immune responses against pathogens and the repairment phase after parturition. However, Mainau et al. [16] reported more postpartum cattle activity after the administration of meloxicam in the first 2 days post-parturition. Another study showed that meloxicam treatment resulted in more feeding and milk production [17]. Treatment of Holstein Friesian dairy cows with flunixin meglumine in the early postpartum period (day 2) reduced inflammatory markers and improved the metabolic profile. The treated cows showed a lower risk of metritis starting from day 15 postpartum [13]. However, treating cows within the first

5 hours postpartum and administering daily injections on days 2 and 3, led to febrile induction and disruption of the fetal membrane expulsion [18]. However, treatment of cows immediately before calving with Flunixin increased the incidence of stillbirths. Animals, treated immediately after calving, had increased odds of having a retained placenta and, in turn, increased risk of a high temperature, decreased milk production, and an increased risk of developing metritis. The administration of flunixin meglumine within 24 h of parturition is not recommended in dairy cattle [19]. In the current study, the selection of the first treatment on day 3 was based on the mentioned facts and the occurrence of the required inflammatory phase for the induction of uterine repair.

According to the current results, the drugs did not significantly affect the total specific antibody levels against both tested pathogens. However, on day 15, a significant reduction was observed in the levels of specific IgM against *E. coli* and *T. pyogenes* in the meloxicam group and IgG levels against *E. coli* in the flunixin group. In an in vitro study, the dose-dependent immunosuppressive effects of flunixin and meloxicam on calf leukocytes were demonstrated [20]. Bednarek et al. [21] also reported the immunosuppressive benefits of both drugs on calves suffering from enzootic bronchopneumonia.

In another study, Compiani et al., [22] suggested using meloxicam administration to prevent bovine respiratory disease in imported male cattle, where treated animals exhibited higher antibody titers following bovine herpes virus-1 vaccination and increased serum bactericidal activity. Additionally, the reduction in haptoglobin lev-

els indicated successful management of transportation stress. Differences in animal sex, health, and physiological conditions could be involved in the contrasting results.

Oral administration of meloxicam to the treated beef cattle with lipopolysaccharide had no effects on the induced neutralizing antibody titer after vaccination against respiratory pathogens, acute-phase protein concentration, and TNF- $\alpha$  level [23]. The current study found no statistical difference in total antibody titer against *E. coli* and *T. pyogenes*. However, the study revealed significant effects on IgM and IgG titers. Transdermal injection of flunixin meglumine did not significantly affect antibody titers against experimentally treated beef heifers against *Mannheimia haemolytica* [24]. Pascottini et al. [7] reported that administration of meloxicam for four days, days 10 to 13, reduced systemic inflammatory responses but did not affect the endometrial inflammatory status. The current study focuses on the early stages of induced inflammatory responses following calving. Bednarek et al. [21] suggested a combined treatment of antibiotics and meloxicam for calves suffering from enzootic bronchopneumonia.

The treated group showed an increased level of interferon-gamma, but no effects on gamma-globulin concentration were observed four days after treatment. Another study demonstrated the adverse effects of meloxicam on weaned calves. Additionally, it revealed that the treatment did not affect the antibody titers against bovine herpesvirus type 1, bovine respiratory syncytial virus, parainfluenza virus type 3, or coronavirus [25].

## 5. Conclusion

The results showed respective reductions in IgM and IgG titer against both pathogens after treatment by meloxicam and flunixin. However, according to elevation of the IgG and IgM titer, the results indicated the treatment affected antibody isotype switching of the antibodies rather than suppression of the humoral immune responses. The possible different treatment effects on cytokine profiles of the immune cells resulted in dissimilar effects on antibodies and isotype switching.

## Ethical Considerations

### Compliance with ethical guidelines

This research was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2013.

## Data availability

The data supporting the findings of this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

## Funding

This research was financed by a grant from the [Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz](#) Research Council, Ahvaz, Iran (Grant No.: VP1401.138771). The funding body had no role in the study design or data interpretation.

## Authors' contributions

Methodology: Mohammad Nouri, Mohammad Khosravi, and Behrooz Mihandoost; Investigation: Behrooz Mihandoost; Data curation, software, formal analysis; investigation, visualization and writing the original draft: Saad Gooraninejad and Seyyad Mojtaba Emam; Project administration, supervision, review and editing: Mohammad Nouri and Mohammad Khosravi.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interests.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the staff of Animal Husbandry and Agriculture of FKA, Isfahan Province, Iran, for their assistance and for providing access to animals and facilities.

## References

- [1] Noakes DE, Parkinson TJ, England GC. Arthur's Veterinary Reproduction and Obstetrics-E-Book: Arthur's Veterinary Reproduction and Obstetrics-E-Book. Amsterdam: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2018. [\[Link\]](#)
- [2] Machado VS, Silva TH. Adaptive immunity in the post-partum uterus: Potential use of vaccines to control metritis. *Theriogenology*. 2020; 150:201-9. [\[DOI:10.1016/j.theriogenology.2020.01.040\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
- [3] Herr M, Bostedt H, Failing K. IgG and IgM levels in dairy cows during the periparturient period. *Theriogenology*. 2011; 75(2):377-85. [\[DOI:10.1016/j.theriogenology.2010.09.009\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
- [4] Ochsenbein AF, Zinkernagel RM. Natural antibodies and complement link innate and acquired immunity. *Immunol Today*. 2000; 21(12):624-30. [\[DOI:10.1016/S0167-5699\(00\)01754-0\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)

[5] Machado VS, Bicalho ML, Meira Junior EB, Rossi R, Ribeiro BL, Lima S, et al. Subcutaneous immunization with inactivated bacterial components and purified protein of *Escherichia coli*, *Fusobacterium necrophorum* and *Trueperella pyogenes* prevents puerperal metritis in Holstein dairy cows. *Plos One*. 2014; 9(3):e91734. [\[DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0091734\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0091734) [PMID]

[6] Remnant JG, Tremlett A, Huxley JN, Hudson CD. Clinician attitudes to pain and use of analgesia in cattle: Where are we 10 years on? *Vet Rec*. 2017; 181(15):400. [\[DOI:10.1136/vr.104428\]](https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.104428) [PMID]

[7] Pascottini OB, Van Schyndel SJ, Spricigo JFW, Carvalho MR, Mion B, Ribeiro ES, et al. Effect of anti-inflammatory treatment on systemic inflammation, immune function, and endometrial health in postpartum dairy cows. *Sci Rep*. 2020; 10(1):5236. [\[DOI:10.1038/s41598-020-62103-x\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-62103-x) [PMID]

[8] Crookenden MA, Lake AVR, Burke CR, Pratt JT, Mitchell MD, Phyn CVC, et al. Effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on the inflammatory response of bovine endometrial epithelial cells in vitro. *J Dairy Sci*. 2023; 106(4):2651-66. [\[DOI:10.3168/jds.2021-21742\]](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2021-21742) [PMID]

[9] Khosravi M, Gharibi D, Moradi N, Ghorbanpoor M. Evaluation of a rapid enzyme immunoassay test for diagnosis of contamination of milk, water and feces to *Salmonella Typhimurium*. 2020.

[10] Khosravi M, Nouri M, Mohammadi A, Mosavari N, Constable PD. Preparation of immunomagnetic beads coupled with a rhodamine hydrazine immunosensor for the detection of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies paratuberculosis in bovine feces, milk, and colostrum. *J Dairy Sci*. 2021; 104(6):6944-60. [\[DOI:10.3168/jds.2020-18819\]](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-18819) [PMID]

[11] Hay FC, Westwood OMR. Practical immunology. Oxford: Blackwell; 2002. [\[DOI:10.1002/9780470757475\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470757475)

[12] Sedlinska M, Krejčí J, Vyskočil M. Evaluation of field methods for determining immunoglobulin in sucking foals. *Acta Vet Brno*. 2005; 74(1):51-8. [\[DOI:10.2754/avb200574010051\]](https://doi.org/10.2754/avb200574010051)

[13] Schmitt R, Pieper L, Borchardt S, Swinkels JM, Gelfert CC, Staufenbiel R. Effects of a single transdermal administration of flunixin meglumine in early postpartum Holstein Friesian dairy cows: Part 1. Inflammatory and metabolic markers, uterine health, and indicators of pain. *J Dairy Sci*. 2023; 106(1):624-40. [\[DOI:10.3168/jds.2021-20555\]](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2021-20555) [PMID]

[14] Bancos S, Bernard MP, Topham DJ, Phipps RP. Ibuprofen and other widely used non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs inhibit antibody production in human cells. *Cell Immunol*. 2009; 258(1):18-28. [\[DOI:10.1016/j.cellimm.2009.03.007\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2009.03.007)

[15] Swartz TH, Schramm HH, Bewley JM, Wood CM, Leslie KE, Petersson-Wolfe CS. Meloxicam administration either prior to or after parturition: Effects on behavior, health, and production in dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci*. 2018; 101(11):10151-67. [\[DOI:10.3168/jds.2018-14657\]](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-14657) [PMID]

[16] Mainau E, Cuevas A, Ruiz-de-la-Torre JL, Abbeloos E, Manteca X. Effect of meloxicam administration after calving on milk production, acute phase proteins, and behavior in dairy cows. *J Vet Behav*. 2014; 9(6):357-63. [\[DOI:10.1016/j.jveb.2014.07.007\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2014.07.007)

[17] Newby NC, Pearl DL, LeBlanc SJ, Leslie KE, von Keyserlingk MA, Duffield TF. Effects of meloxicam on milk production, behavior, and feed intake in dairy cows following assisted calving. *J Dairy Sci*. 2013; 96(6):3682-8. [\[DOI:10.3168/jds.2012-6214\]](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2012-6214) [PMID]

[18] Shwartz G, Hill KL, VanBaale MJ, Baumgard LH. Effects of flunixin meglumine on pyrexia and bioenergetic variables in postparturient dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci*. 2009; 92(5):1963-70. [\[DOI:10.3168/jds.2008-1581\]](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2008-1581) [PMID]

[19] Newby NC, Leslie KE, Dingwell HDP, Kelton DF, Weary DM, Neuder L, et al. The effects of periparturient administration of flunixin meglumine on the health and production of dairy cattle. *J Dairy Sci*. 2017; 100(1):582-7. [\[DOI:10.3168/jds.2016-11747\]](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-11747) [PMID]

[20] Maeda Y, Tanaka R, Ohtsuka H, Matsuda K, Tanabe T, Oikawa M. Comparison of the immunosuppressive effects of dexamethasone, flunixin meglumine and meloxicam on the in vitro response of calf peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *J Vet Med Sci*. 2011; 73(7):957-60. [\[DOI:10.1292/jvms.10-0422\]](https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.10-0422) [PMID]

[21] Bednarek D, Zdzisińska B, Kondracki M, Rzeski W, Paduch R, Kandefer-Szczęsińska M. A comparative study of the effects of meloxicam and flunixin meglumine (NSAIDs) as adjunctive therapy on interferon and tumor necrosis factor production in calves suffering from enzootic bronchopneumonia. *Pol J Vet Sci*. 2003; 6(2):109-15. [\[DOI:10.2478/v10050-003-0019-7\]](https://doi.org/10.2478/v10050-003-0019-7) [PMID]

[22] Compiani R, Grossi S, Morandi N, Sgoifo Rossi C. Evaluation of meloxicam included in a modern health management of beef cattle adaptation phase. *Large Anim Rev*. 2020; 26(4):155-8. [\[Link\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/1098935X.2020.1717700)

[23] Rodrigues MC, Cooke RF, Marques RS, Arispe SA, Keisler DH, Bohnert DW. Effects of oral meloxicam administration to beef cattle receiving lipopolysaccharide administration or vaccination against respiratory pathogens. *J Anim Sci*. 2015; 93(10):5018-27. [\[DOI:10.2527/jas.2015-9424\]](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-9424) [PMID]

[24] Word AB, Broadway PR, Burdick Sanchez NC, Hutcheson JP, Ellis GB, Holland BP, et al. Acute immunologic and metabolic responses of beef heifers following topical administration of flunixin meglumine at various times relative to bovine herpesvirus 1 and Mannheimia haemolytica challenges. *Am J Vet Res*. 2020; 81(3):243-53. [\[DOI:10.2460/ajvr.81.3.243\]](https://doi.org/10.2460/ajvr.81.3.243) [PMID]

[25] Homerosky ER, Jelinski MJ, Dorin C. Impact of meloxicam on respiratory virus titers and health outcomes when administered concurrently with a modified live respiratory vaccine in abruptly weaned beef steers. *Can J Vet Res*. 2021; 85(2):101-5. [\[DOI:10.1139/cjvr-2020-0480\]](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjvr-2020-0480) [PMID]

This Page Intentionally Left Blank