

Effect of dietary protease supplementation on growth performance, carcass traits, and hematological characteristics of native chickens

Nguyen Hoang Qui, Nguyen Thuy Linh*

Department of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Tra Vinh University, Tra Vinh City, Vietnam

* Corresponding author: thuylinh80@tvu.edu.vn

Abstract

Native chicken farming plays an important role in agriculture, and protease enzyme supplementation has been shown to enhance digestion and nutrient absorption. The experiment was conducted on 128 native chickens (5–12 weeks old), arranged in a completely randomized design with four treatments and four replications to assess the effect of protease enzyme supplementation in the diet on growth performance, carcass traits, and hematological characteristics of native chickens. The experimental chickens were fed and given water *ad libitum*, and the same basal diet was provided to the experimental birds consisting of control diet (the diet without protease) and three different levels of protease enzyme supplementation (0.1, 0.3, and 0.5%). The results showed that the 0.3% protease enzyme supplementation group had significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased final body weight (1,854 g/bird) and daily weight gain (30.02 g/bird/day) compared with the control group; meanwhile, feed conversion ratio was also significantly improved ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, carcass weight (1,273 g), breast weight (215.7 g), and thigh weight (155.5 g) exhibited a substantial increase in the 0.3% protease group ($P < 0.05$) while there was no significant effect on other slaughter characteristics ($P > 0.05$). However, protease enzyme supplementation had no effect on indices of immune organ, hematological parameters, or liver function including GGT, ALT, AST, and ALP ($P > 0.05$), apart from a significant difference in liver weight (43.50 g) among treatments. This suggests that the addition of 0.3% protease enzyme can improve the growth performance and carcass quality of indigenous chickens, all without any negative effect on health performance.

Keywords: protease enzyme, protein digestibility, growth, carcass, poultry health, native chickens.

1. Introduction

In several countries, indigenous chickens play a crucial role in the agricultural industry, especially in rural areas where they are traditionally reared using age-old methods. According to (1, 2), local chickens are highly adaptable to the environment, exhibit strong disease resistance, and produce high-quality meat that meets consumer preferences. Native chickens are considered to have lower feed conversion efficiency and slow growth rates as compared to industrial chicken, thus resulting in much higher production costs (3). Based on these analyses, the most significant research avenues involve enhancing the well-being and performance of local chickens through the implementation of nutritional measures.

Over the past years, antibiotic usage in the poultry sector has been reduced to mitigate the risk of antibiotic resistance (4, 5) and provide food safety. This encourages the innovation of alternative nutritional solutions, such as exogenous enzyme application to facilitate digestion and nutrient absorption (6, 7). One such system is protease enzymes, which facilitate the breakdown of harder-to-digest proteins into more absorbable peptides and amino acids (8, 9). As a result, protease helps improve the feed conversion ratio (FCR), increases growth performance, and enhances protein digestion efficiency (10). Additionally, this enzyme has a positive impact on gut health (6), supports the immune system (4), and does not negatively affect blood biochemical indices such as liver enzymes, cholesterol, and glucose (11).

Protease has been shown to improve poultry productivity and meat quality in earlier research. According to (7), protease can aid in the breakdown of protein from plant sources, such as corn and soybeans, enhancing growth performance and reducing feed costs. Additionally, the protease enzyme improves the quality of meat by reducing abdominal fat, increasing the yield of breast meat (12). Additionally, protease regulates hematological markers that reflect protein metabolism and general poultry health, including serum protein, blood urea, and liver enzymes (13). However, most current studies focus on industrial chickens, while data on the impact of this enzyme on native chickens remains limited.

Based on the above reality, this study was conducted to evaluate the impact of adding protease enzymes to the diet on the growth, meat characteristics, and hematological indices of indigenous chickens. This was one of the few studies focusing on indigenous chicken breeds, which exhibit different growth and digestion characteristics compared to industrial chickens. The research results provide important scientific data to optimize nutrition, enhance the efficiency of indigenous chicken farming in a sustainable direction, reduce dependence on antibiotics, and limit negative environmental impacts.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Location and time

The experiment was conducted at the Animal Experimental Farm, Tra Vinh University, from September to December 2024. All research activities comply with the regulations of the Science and Technology Council regarding animal experiments, approved under decision number 137/2022/HĐ.HĐKH&ĐT-ĐHTV.

2.2. Feed formulation

All the chickens in the experiment were raised under uniform conditions regarding diet and feed management. The feed used in the study was a mixed diet that met the nutritional standards recommended by NRC (14) for broilers, with the ingredient composition and nutritional value analyzed before use. The experimental treatments were designed with different levels of protease enzyme supplementation (0%, 0.1%, 0.3%, and 0.5%) in the diet, and the feed was provided *ad libitum* throughout the experiment. The nutritional composition of the feed is recorded in Table 1.

2.3. Bird management

With four treatments that corresponded to four levels of protease enzyme supplementation, the experimental model was set up in a completely randomized method. The control group received mixed feed without any protease enzyme supplementation; E1 received mixed feed supplemented with 0.1%; E2 received mixed feed supplemented with 0.3%; and E3 received 0.5%. There are 128 experimental chickens in total, with each repetition corresponding to an experimental unit made up of 8 chickens of the same weight. To ensure consistent experimental conditions, each chicken was raised independently in a different cage. During the rearing process, the chickens received vaccinations on a predetermined schedule, which included oral, ocular, wing web, and intramuscular flu, smallpox, and Gumboro vaccines (1st and second doses) as well as ND-IB vaccines (1st and second doses). The chickens are fed twice a day at 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM as part of a consistent care and feeding schedule. To ascertain the actual consumption, the leftover feed was weighed the following morning at 7:00 AM. The farm's water system freely supplies clean drinking water, so the hens never experience a water shortage. To maintain biosecurity and reduce the chance of disease transmission, feeders and drinkers are cleaned every day, and the coop is routinely inspected and sanitized. A schematic diagram of the experimental design is in Figure 1.

2.4. Performance of growth

Body weight, feed intake, and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were among the metrics used to assess the experiment's chickens' growth performance. To track their growth, the chickens were weighed at the start of the experiment (5 weeks old) and every two weeks until the end (12

weeks old). The difference between the initial and final average weights divided by the number of feeding days yields the average daily gain (ADG). By keeping track of the quantity of feed given and the quantity of leftover feed each day, the amount of feed consumed (FI) is calculated. Based on that, the feed conversion ratio (FCR), which represents the effectiveness of feed utilization, is computed by dividing the total amount of feed consumed by the total weight gain of the chickens during the experiment.

2.5. Carcass traits

After the experiment ended in the 12th week, all chickens in each treatment were weighed before proceeding with the slaughter. The slaughter process was carried out according to standard methods, ensuring compliance with ethical regulations in animal research in Vietnam. After bleeding and plucking, the chickens were eviscerated to determine the meat quality parameters. The evaluation criteria included carcass weight, carcass percentage, breast weight, breast percentage, thigh weight, and thigh percentage. In addition, some important internal organs such as the liver, heart, gizzard, and intestines were also collected and weighed to determine the influence of protease enzymes on the development of these organs.

2.6. Immune organ indices

After the experiment concluded in the 12th week, some chickens from each treatment group were randomly selected to evaluate the mass and ratio of the immune organs. The organs, including the Fabricius pouch, thymus, and spleen, were collected immediately after slaughter and cleaned. The immune organ index is calculated using the formula (1):

$$\text{Immune organ indices} = (\text{immune organ weight/body weight}) \times 1,000 \quad (1)$$

This measurement helps assess the development of immune organs and the response of chickens to the addition of protease enzyme in their diet.

2.7. Hematological Parameters

After the experiment concluded in the 12th week, blood samples were collected from the wing veins of chickens in each treatment group. Each blood sample was collected into a test tube containing the anticoagulant EDTA for hematological analysis, while another portion of the blood was centrifuged to separate the serum for biochemical analysis. The hematological parameters determined include total serum protein, total cholesterol, triglycerides, glucose, globulin, creatinine, albumin, and the albumin/globulin ratio. Liver damage indices were assessed through liver enzymes, including gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP). Serum samples were analyzed using the SMT-120VP analyzer (Chengdu Seamaty Technology Co., Ltd., China) with standard kits according to the manufacturer's instructions. The obtained data

were statistically processed to evaluate the impact of protease enzyme on blood parameters, thereby reflecting the nutritional status, metabolism, and overall health of the experimental chickens.

2.8. Data analysis

The data obtained from the experiment were statistically processed using Minitab version 16 software. Growth indicators, meat quality characteristics, immune organ indices, and hematological parameters were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) in a completely randomized model. When there was a statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$), the Tukey test was used to compare the differences between the treatments. The results are presented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant, while P values > 0.05 were regarded as not showing a significant difference between the treatments.

3. Results

3.1. Impact of protease enzyme on growth performance of native chickens

The addition of protease enzyme to the diet significantly affects several growth parameters of the experimental chickens (Table 2). Body weight at week 12, average daily gain, and feed conversion ratio (FCR) showed significant differences between the treatments ($P < 0.05$). The group supplemented with 0.3% protease achieved the highest final body weight and had the best improved FCR, while the control group had significantly lower values. The average daily feed intake did not differ between the treatments ($P > 0.05$), indicating that the enzyme did not affect the feed consumption level but positively impacted the nutritional efficiency.

3.2. Impact of protease enzyme on carcass traits of native chickens

Data on the carcass characteristics of the experimental chickens show that the addition of protease enzyme significantly affects several parameters (Table 3). The body weight, breast weight, and thigh weight of the group supplemented with 0.3% protease were significantly higher than those of the control group ($P < 0.05$), while the group supplemented with 0.5% protease showed no significant difference compared to the other groups. The relative proportions of carcass, breast, and thigh meat were not affected by enzyme supplementation ($P > 0.05$). The liver weight showed significant differences among the treatments ($P < 0.05$), with the group supplemented with 0.3% protease having the highest value. However, the mass of the heart, liver, small intestine, and large intestine did not show significant differences between the experimental groups ($P > 0.05$).

3.3. Impact of protease enzyme on growth performance of native chickens

There is no statistically significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between the treatments regarding the Fabricius bursa, spleen, and thymus indices in all four treatments, indicating that the addition of protease enzyme does not significantly affect the development of immune organs (Figure 2). The obtained values were similar between the control group and the experimental groups, suggesting that the protease enzyme does not have a negative impact on the immune system of chickens under experimental conditions.

There is no statistically significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between the treatments in terms of total protein, cholesterol, triglycerides, glucose, globulin, creatinine, albumin, and A/G ratio (Figure 3). These results indicate that the addition of protease enzyme does not significantly affect the hematological indices of the experimental chickens. The parameters between the control group and the groups supplemented with protease enzymes remained at equivalent levels, indicating stability in the nutritional metabolism and homeostasis of the chickens.

There is no statistically significant difference between the treatments regarding liver damage indicators (Figure 4), including GGT, ALT, AST, and ALP ($P > 0.05$). This indicates that the addition of protease enzymes to the diet does not negatively affect the liver function of the experimental chickens, while also maintaining the normal physiological activity of the liver throughout the study period.

4. Discussion

The protease enzyme affects the growth ability of chickens by improving the efficiency of digestion and protein absorption in their diet, thereby optimizing nutrient metabolism. The main mechanism of protease is to cleave peptide bonds in indigestible proteins, releasing more easily absorbable peptides and amino acids, helping poultry better utilize the protein source from their feed (8, 9). Previous studies have also shown that protease can optimize the utilization of dietary protein in poultry farming. Protease used as a feed additive can help supplement the effects of endogenous pepsin and pancreatic enzymes by enhancing the hydrolysis and solubilization of proteins (6). This leads to improved weight gain, as the body has sufficient materials to synthesize muscle protein and develop tissues (1). The reduction in food intake while maintaining good growth rates can be explained by the improvement in feed efficiency. When protein is digested more thoroughly, the amount of nutrients required to achieve the same level of growth decreases, so chickens need to consume less feed while still achieving high weight gain. Additionally, protease enzymes also help reduce anti-nutritional factors in feed (7), limiting the negative impact of undigested protein on the digestive system and gut bacteria, thereby maintaining gut health and improving feed conversion efficiency. Protease helps support the increase in the length of intestinal villi, thereby aiding in better

nutrient absorption (15). The research results show that a 0.3% protease supplement yields the highest effectiveness, which may be related to the physiological limits of the chicken digestive system. When the enzyme is supplemented at the optimal level, the digestive system can operate most efficiently to break down protein without causing nutritional imbalances or metabolic disorders (16). However, at a level of 0.5%, enzyme saturation or changes in digestive kinetics may occur, leading to a decrease in protein digestion and absorption efficiency (17). Additionally, excessive use of enzymes can affect the gut microbiota or alter the interactions between endogenous and exogenous enzymes (18), thereby not providing the expected growth benefits.

Protease enzymes have a significant impact on the meat yield of chickens by optimizing the digestion of protein and nutrient absorption. Meat yield is closely related to the nutritional status of broiler chickens because animals provided with adequate nutrition will promote the development of muscle tissue (15). When the protein in the diet is more efficiently broken down, the availability of amino acids for muscle synthesis increases, helping to improve the mass and ratio of meat parts such as breast and thigh meat (1). This increase reflects the enhanced protein metabolism efficiency with the support of protease enzymes. The highest efficiency was observed at 0.3% enzyme supplementation, suggesting it as the optimal level for improving meat quality without disrupting nutrient metabolism. When the enzyme supplementation level is higher, the effectiveness does not increase, possibly due to saturation in the protein breakdown capacity of the digestive system, leading to the underutilization of the supplemented amino acids (17). This also explains why the meat yield indices do not continue to increase at higher enzyme levels. Although protease has a significant impact on carcass weight, most internal organs are unaffected, except for the liver. The liver plays a central role in protein metabolism (19), including the synthesis of plasma proteins and the regulation of nitrogen metabolism. When the ability to digest protein improves, the liver must work harder to regulate the metabolic process, leading to an increase in liver mass (20). This increase reflects the physiological adjustment of the body to adapt to changes in protein metabolism rather than having a negative impact on the health of the chickens.

In the current study, protease enzymes did not significantly affect immune organ indices such as the Fabricius bursa, spleen, and thymus, as well as blood parameters and liver enzyme indices in chickens. This can be explained by the mechanism of action of protease enzymes primarily on the digestive system rather than directly regulating immune activity. Previous studies have shown that the improvement of protein digestion can indirectly support the immune system by providing sufficient essential amino acids (7). However, when the chicken's

diet already ensures sufficient protein and there are no stress factors or pathogens, the addition of protease enzymes does not create a significant difference in the size and weight of the immune organs (4). This is consistent with the studies of (11) and (15), as they did not find significant changes in the immune response of healthy poultry supplemented with protease enzyme. Similarly, blood biochemical indices such as total protein, albumin, globulin, glucose, cholesterol, and triglycerides showed no differences between the treatments. This indicates that protease enzymes do not significantly alter the balance of homeostatic metabolism. According to (9), protease enzymes primarily affect digestive efficiency without directly impacting hematological parameters if the diet is not nutritionally deficient. Furthermore, the study by (8) also noted that the improvement in protein digestion could affect the absorption rate of amino acids but did not directly impact hematological parameters if the diet was balanced. Moreover, although the liver tends to increase in weight, liver damage indicators such as AST, ALT, ALP, and GGT did not show significant differences between the experimental groups. This proves that the liver is not overloaded or damaged due to the increased protein metabolism when protease enzymes are supplemented. According to (13), some exogenous enzymes can promote protein metabolism without causing liver dysfunction, as long as the supplementation levels are within an appropriate range. The study by (10) also noted that protease enzymes help optimize protein utilization without increasing pressure on the liver. This explains why the liver has a larger mass but shows no signs of functional damage.

5. Conclusion

The addition of protease enzyme to the diet has a positive impact on the growth performance and meat quality of local chickens. The supplementation level of 0.3% yielded the most favorable result in weight gain, feed conversion ratio, breast and thigh meat yield and liver weight, while higher levels did not provide additional advantages. Despite these improvements, immune indices, hematological parameters, and liver function markers remained unchanged, indicating no adverse effects on overall health.

Acknowledgement

We acknowledge the support of time and facilities from Tra Vinh University (TVU) for this study.

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization: N. H. Q, N. T. L.

Methodology: N. H. Q, N. T. L.

Formal analysis and investigation: N. H. Q, N. T. L.

Writing - original draft preparation: N. H. Q, N. T. L.

Writing - review and editing: N. H. Q, N. T. L.

Supervision: N. H. Q.

Ethics

I hereby confirm that I have reviewed and complied with the relevant Instructions to Authors, the Ethics in Publishing policy, and Conflicts of Interest disclosure on behalf of all co-authors.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author

References

1. Linh N, Vui N, Guntoro B, Qui N. The effects of dietary methionine during 5-14 weeks of age on growth performance and carcass traits of chickens. *J Anim Health Prod.* 2021;9(2):193-7.
2. Qui NH, Linh NT. Effects of dietary β -glucan and rice fermented on growth performance, fatty acids, and Newcastle disease immune response in turkey broilers. *Saudi J Biol Sci.* 2023;30(8):103736.
3. Khoa DVA, Tuoi NTH, Thuy NTD, Okamoto S, Kawabe K, Khang NTK, et al. Growth performance and morphology of in 28-84 day-old Vietnamese local Noi chicken. *Biotechnol Anim Husb.* 2019;35(3):301-10.
4. Li X, Wang X, Lv Y, Ma W, Wu X, Zhen W, et al. Effects of dietary protease supplementation on behaviour, slaughter performance, meat quality and immune organ indices of broilers. *Czech J Anim Sci.* 2023;68(6).
5. Qui NH, Linh NT, Thu NTA, Nang K, Nhan Hoai P, Minh BN, et al. Immunological Response and Nutritional Effects of *Lactobacillus* spp.-fermented Garlic on Turkey Broilers. *Arch Razi Inst.* 2024;79(2):345-54.
6. Park JH, Lee SI, Kim IH. The effect of protease on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and expression of growth-related genes and amino acid transporters in broilers. *J Anim Sci Technol.* 2020;62(5):614-27.
7. Sugiharto S, Setiaji A, Adli DN, Sholikin MM. Effects of Enzyme and Probiotic on the Growth Performance of Broiler Chickens: A Meta-Analysis. *Jurnal Agripet.* 2024;24(2):148-55.
8. McCafferty KW, Choct M, Musigwa S, Morgan NK, Cowieson AJ, Moss AF. Protease supplementation reduced the heat increment of feed and improved energy and nitrogen partitioning in broilers fed maize-based diets with supplemental phytase and xylanase. *Anim Nutr.* 2022;10:19-25.
9. Walk C, Juntunen K, Paloheimo M, Ledoux D. Evaluation of novel protease enzymes on growth performance and nutrient digestibility of poultry: enzyme dose response. *Poult Sci.* 2019;98(11):5525-32.
10. Park JH, Kim IH. Effects of a protease and essential oils on growth performance, blood cell profiles, nutrient retention, ileal microbiota, excreta gas emission, and breast meat quality in broiler chicks. *Poult Sci.* 2018;97(8):2854-60.

11. Amer SA, Beheiry RR, Abdel Fattah DM, Roushdy EM, Hassan FAM, Ismail TA, et al. Effects of different feeding regimens with protease supplementation on growth, amino acid digestibility, economic efficiency, blood biochemical parameters, and intestinal histology in broiler chickens. *BMC Vet Res*. 2021;17(1):283.
12. Qiu K, Chen J, Zhang G, Chang W, Zheng A, Cai H, et al. Effects of Dietary Crude Protein and Protease Levels on Performance, Immunity Capacity, and AA Digestibility of Broilers. *Agriculture*. 2023;13(3):703.
13. Velázquez-De Lucio BS, Hernández-Domínguez EM, Villa-García M, Díaz-Godínez G, Mandujano-Gonzalez V, Mendoza-Mendoza B, et al. Exogenous Enzymes as Zootechnical Additives in Animal Feed: A Review. *Catalysts*. 2021;11(7):851.
14. NRC. Nutrient requirements poultry. 9th ed. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1994.
15. Oyeagu CE, Mlambo V, Lewu FB. Histomorphometric traits, microbiota, nutrient digestibility, growth performance, carcass traits and meat quality parameters of chickens fed diets supplemented with different levels of *Bacillus protease*. *J Appl Anim Res*. 2023;51(1):137-55.
16. Jiang Z, Mei L, Li Y, Guo Y, Yang B, Huang Z, et al. Enzymatic Regulation of the Gut Microbiota: Mechanisms and Implications for Host Health. *Biomolecules*. 2024;14(12):1638.
17. Hossain M, Zulkifli I, Soleimani A. Effect of high protein supplementation on growth and nutrient digestibility of broiler. *Banglad J Anim Sci*. 2017;46(1):44-50.
18. Shi Z, Li X, Chen J, Dai Z, Zhu Y, Wu T, et al. Enzyme-like biomimetic oral-agent enabling modulating gut microbiota and restoring redox homeostasis to treat inflammatory bowel disease. *Bioact Mater*. 2024;35:167-80.
19. Mousa MA, Asman AS, Ali RMJ, Sayed RKA, Majrashi KA, Fakiha KG, et al. Impacts of Dietary Lysine and Crude Protein on Performance, Hepatic and Renal Functions, Biochemical Parameters, and Histomorphology of Small Intestine, Liver, and Kidney in Broiler Chickens. *Vet Sci*. 2023;10(2):98.
20. Zaefarian F, Abdollahi MR, Cowieson A, Ravindran V. Avian Liver: The Forgotten Organ. *Animals (Basel)*. 2019;9(2).