

Short Communication



***Neospora caninum* Infection in Rodents: A Molecular Study in Dairy Cattle Farms in Arak, Iran**

Fatemeh Arabkhazaeli^{1*}, **Mohammad Khani²**, **Seyed Davood Hosseini²**, **Mobina Farrokhnia³**

1. Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

2. Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute, Arak, Iran.

3. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

Article Info:

Received: 14 April 2024

Revised: 12 October 2024

Accepted: 16 October 2024

Keywords:

Neospora caninum,
Dairy Cattle, Abortion,
Molecular biology,
Mus musculus.

ABSTRACT

Neospora caninum is an apicomplexan protozoa which is an important cause of abortion and economic loss in dairy and beef industries. This parasite follows an indirect prey-predator lifecycle, allowing both domestic and wild species to participate in its transmission. Ongoing research aims to ascertain the involvement of other vertebrates in the epidemiology and transmission of this parasite. Rodents, which are abundant in many habitats including livestock farms, although their role in the maintenance and spread of *N. caninum* remains unresolved. In this study, the potential role of feral rodents in the transmission of *N. caninum*, was investigated in wild rodents captured from several dairy farms with a history of abortion and neosporosis in Arak city, Iran. During the study, rodent samples were collected from 14 farms with high abortion rate. All trapped rodents were identified as *Mus musculus*. Following necropsy, brain samples were collected and tested using Nested-PCR. No evidence for *N. caninum* infection was detected in any of the rodents' samples.

Corresponding Author:

farab@ut.ac.ir

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7579-0180>

How to cite this article: Arabkhazaeli F, Khani M, Hosseini SD, Farrokhnia M. *Neospora caninum* Infection in Rodents: A Molecular Study in Dairy Cattle Farms in Arak, Iran. *Archives of Razi Institute*. 2025;80(4):1069-1073. DOI: 10.66224/ARI.2025.80.4.1069



1. Introduction

Neospora sp. is a protozoan parasite that cause abortion and reduced fertility in animals, especially in cattle. Due to its wide range of intermediate hosts, *N. caninum* is distributed globally (2, 3). Neosporosis causes sporadic abortions and abortion storms on farms, causing severe reproductive and economic losses in cattle herds. Additionally, the parasite is responsible for neuromuscular disease in dogs worldwide (2,4,5). Both vertical transmission and horizontal transmission through oocyst ingestion play important role in the maintenance and spread of the infection within a cattle herd (5,6). The established role of dogs and certain wild canids as definitive hosts in the lifecycle and prevalence of *N. caninum* is widely recognized. Diagnosis can be achieved through various serological tests, including enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs), indirect fluorescent antibody testing (IFAT), and agglutination tests in addition to the PCR-based (polymerase chain reaction) methods. Small animals were incriminated to the sylvatic cycle of the infection. Rodents play an important role in the transmission of various microorganisms and are criticized to have a role in the complex lifecycle of *N. caninum* in cattle farms. Studies investigating the role of rodents in the epidemiology of neosporosis has revealed the infection in different rodent species with varying relative frequency ranging from Zero to 40% (3,7-12). The prevalence of neosporosis in cattle is reported to be 23.6% and 20% in Iran and other countries, respectively (13). Among rodents, global prevalence is estimated at 5%, while in Iran it reaches up to 16%.

Despite ongoing research, data on the presence and prevalence of *Neospora* infection in rodents and birds remain limited. Various bird and rodent species were reported to harbor the parasite reservoir (3,7,9). These infested animals may play an important role in the epidemiology of the disease as their infected tissues may be the source of the infection for other hosts in the parasite's lifecycle. This study was performed to further investigate the plausible role of feral rodents on the distribution and infection of neosporosis. To this end, wild rodents captured from several dairy farms in Arak city, Iran, with a history of neosporosis and abortion, were molecularly investigated for the presence of *N. caninum*

2. Materials and Methods

This study was conducted on the dairy farms in Arak (34°05'30.26"N 49°41'20.98"E), located in in Markazi province, Iran. Sampling was carried out in areas with a documented history of abortion due to neosporosis, including the fodder barn, the manger, the watershed, milking parlor and outdoor area. Based on a 95% confidence level, 5% margin of errors, and 4% population proportion, the least sample size was determined to be 60. Wooden traps and mouse glue trap were used for sampling. Trapped mice were euthanized with ether, morphologically identified and necropsied to collect fresh brain samples (12). Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran (28864/6/2). During necropsy, any visible clinical lesions were recorded, and brain was aseptically excised and homogenized in PBS (pH=7.4).

The obtained samples were centrifuged at 21500xg for 5 minutes and DNA was extracted from the sediment using DNA extraction Kit (Cinnaclone, Iran) (15). Samples were tested for the presence of *Neospora* using Nested-PCR. Primers for NC-5 gene were applied using NC-6, NC-21, NC-7 and NC-10 as nested-PCR (16-18). The PCR reaction mixture contained 0.2 µM of each primer, 200 µM of each dNTP, 1.5 mM of MgCl₂, 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase, and 2 µl of DNA template in a total volume of 25 µl. For each set of PCR amplification, *N. caninum* isolates as internal positive control, and reaction without DNA template served as negative controls. Thermal cycler PCR program was as following: pre-denaturing 5 minutes at 94 °C; 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 seconds of, 63 °C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 1 minute, and a final final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. The amplified PCR products were visualized on 1.5% Agarose gel pre-stained with Nancy-520 DNA Gel Stain (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) under UV light, and gel images were recorded by Gel document.

3. Results

A total of 68 rodents were captured from 14 farms with high abortion rate. All captured rodents were identified as *Mus musculus*. None of the CNS samples tested positive via either PCR or the nested PCR tests.

4. Discussion

Several studies have investigated the involvement of rodents in the epidemiology of *Neospora caninum*. In the West Indies (16), PCR revealed an infection rate of 8.6% ,while serology showed an infection rate of 5.1% in *Mus musculus*. Italy reported a 13.8% infection rate by PCR in *Mus musculus* (19) and Argentina, there have been reports of infection in *Mus musculus* by IFAT (0.8%) (20). The Netherlands has reported 15.4% infection rate in house mice (15). In Mexico, PCR indicates a high infection rate of 77%, while immunohistochemistry shows a rate of 15% (12). The Czech Republic–German hybrid zone reports a 3.6% infection rate (21). Studies in Iran used agglutination, IFAT, PCR and nested-PCR tests and reported various rodent species to be infected with an infection rate of zero to 31.9% (10,13). In the present study, no infection was detected in the samples.

Several investigations have similarly failed to detect *N. caninum* in rodents' samples. Fernández-Escobar et al. (11) conducted a study and found no presence of *N. caninum* in house mice, although they did report a 1.3% prevalence in other micromammals such as rats, shrews, and other species of mice. Similarly, Nazari et al. (23) used molecular methods to examine urban rodents and were unable to detect *N. caninum*, although 39% of the samples tested positive using IFAT (10). Macháčová et al. (24) reported a 0.4% serologic prevalence in 621 captured wild mammals, but no positive results were found in the captured house mice. These disparities could potentially arise from the examined organs. While some studies reported liver as the best target organ for *Neospora* detection in rodents, others have favored brain or heart samples (11, 25).

Prevalence data analysis requires special attention due to the constraints encountered, which is due to the applied detection techniques. According to Jenkins (16), when focusing on the ITS loci, a higher number of positive outcomes could be obtained in samples obtained from dairy farms than Nc5 PCR. Additionally, there are reports that failed to confirm the molecularly detected infection through immunohistochemistry (2, 11, 22).

Regarding the sampling habitat, rodents residing in dry-land habitats were found to have a higher likelihood of being infected with *N. caninum* compared to those trapped in different habitats such as forests or rain-fed lands. Rodents inhabiting in cattle farms with *N. caninum*

abortion were more frequently infected than in peri-urban areas (20, 25, 26).

Diverse detection techniques, different sampling locations in relation to proximity to cattle farms, various rodent species, and examination of various organs may all contribute to inconsistent results. It is worth mentioning that PCR or serology are commonly used detection methods to identify *N. caninum* infections in various animal species. These methods detect parasite DNA or specific antibodies in the host, but they do not necessarily indicate a viable or successful infection (27). However, the involvement of other animal species including rodents and birds in the maintenance of the parasite is still under study. It has been proven that pigeons and gerbils are currently considered the most susceptible hosts (2).

Although the presence of antibodies or the parasite's DNA in animals rather than bovids and canids may make these animals a plausible host, it has not been proven in experimental studies. Despite the susceptibility of *Mus musculus* to infection, its role in the urban cycle of *N. caninum* infection appears to be negligible. It may be noted that the present study surveyed brain tissue from the farm captured *Mus musculus*. In order to outdo the limitations on experiment parameters, it is recommended to incorporate multiple diagnostic and confirmatory techniques on different organs, alongside a more extensive sampling approach, encompassing a wider range of rodent species.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank our colleagues in the faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran and Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute, Arak, for supporting this research.

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing: F. A.

Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing: S. D. H.

Writing – original draft: M. F.

Investigation, Writing – original draft: M. K.

Ethics

We hereby declare all ethical standards have been respected in preparation of the submitted article.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Funding

The project was funded under grant number (7405074/8/9), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran.

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

References

- Dubey JP, Schares G, Ortega-Mora L. Epidemiology and control of neosporosis and *Neospora caninum*. *Clinical microbiology reviews*. 2007 Apr;20(2):323-67.
- Donahose SL, Lindsay SA, Krockenberger M, Phalen D, Šlapeta J. A review of neosporosis and pathologic findings of *Neospora caninum* infection in wildlife. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife*. 2015 Aug 1;4(2):216-38.
- Gharekhani J, Yakhchali M, Heidari R. Molecular detection and phylogenetic analysis of *Neospora caninum* in various hosts from Iran. *Comparative Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 2022 Jan 1;80:101737.
- Evans J, Levesque D, Shelton GD. Canine inflammatory myopathies: a clinicopathologic review of 200 cases. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 2004 Sep;18(5):679-91.
- Dubey JP, Vianna MC, Kwok OC, Hill DE, Miska KB, Tuo W, Velmurugan GV, Conors M, Jenkins MC. Neosporosis in Beagle dogs: clinical signs, diagnosis, treatment, isolation and genetic characterization of *Neospora caninum*. *Veterinary parasitology*. 2007 Nov 10;149(3-4):158-66.
- Gual I, Campero LM, Hecker YP, Regidor-Cerrillo J, Leunda MR, Odeón AC, Campero CM, Torioni de Echaide S, Echaide IE, Estein SM, Ortega-Mora LM. Parasitemia and Associated Immune Response in Pregnant and Non-Pregnant Beef Cows Naturally Infected With *Neospora caninum*. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*. 2022 Jun 14;9:905271.
- Reichel MP, Wahl LC, Ellis JT. Research into *Neospora caninum*—what have we learnt in the last thirty years?. *Pathogens*. 2020 Jun 23;9(6):505.
- Anvari D, Saberi R, Sharif M, Sarvi S, Hosseini SA, Moosazadeh M, Hosseinejad Z, Chegeni TN, Daryani A. Seroprevalence of *Neospora caninum* infection in dog population worldwide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta parasitologica*. 2020 Jun;65:273-90.
- Abdoli A, Arbabi M, Pirestani M, Mirzaghavami M, Ghaffarifar F, Dalimi A, Sadraei J. Molecular assessment of *Neospora caninum* and *Toxoplasma gondii* in hooded crows (*Corvus cornix*) in Tehran, Iran. *Comparative immunology, microbiology and infectious diseases*. 2018 Apr 1;57:69-73.
- Nazari N, Shojaee S, Salimi M, Mohebbi M, Ahmadifard N, Hamzavi Y, Zarei Z, Farahmand-Rad R, Bozorgomid A, Heydarian P. Serological survey of *Neospora caninum* and *Toxoplasma gondii* co-infection in rodents in Northwestern Iran. *Iranian Journal of Parasitology*. 2020 Apr;15(2):253.
- Fernández-Escobar M, Millán J, Chirife AD, Ortega-Mora LM, Calero-Bernal R. Molecular survey for cyst-forming coccidia (*Toxoplasma gondii*, *Neospora caninum*, *Sarcocystis* spp.) in Mediterranean periurban micromammals. *Parasitology Research*. 2020 Aug;119(8):2679-86.
- Medina-Esparza L, Macías L, Ramos-Parra M, Morales-Salinas E, Quezada T, Cruz-Vázquez C. Frequency of infection by *Neospora caninum* in wild rodents associated with dairy farms in Aguascalientes, Mexico. *Veterinary Parasitology*. 2013 Jan 16;191(1-2):11-4.
- Gharekhani J, Yakhchali M, Berahmat R. *Neospora caninum* infection in Iran (2004–2020): A review. *Journal of Parasitic Diseases*. 2020 Dec;44(4):671-86.
- Khani M, Arabkhazaeli F, Hosseini SD, Shayan P. Molecular detection of *Neospora caninum* in aborted fetuses of cattle farms in Arak. *Journal of Veterinary Research*. 2018 Dec 73(4): 457-463.
- Meerburg BG, De Craeye S, Dierick K, Kijlstra A. *Neospora caninum* and *Toxoplasma gondii* in brain tissue of feral rodents and insectivores caught on farms in the Netherlands. *Veterinary parasitology*. 2012 Mar 23;184(2-4):317-20.
- Jenkins MC, Parker C, Hill D, Pinckney RD, Dyer R, Dubey JP. *Neospora caninum* detected in feral rodents. *Veterinary Parasitology*. 2007 Jan 31;143(2):161-5.
- Okeoma CM, Williamson NB, Pomroy WE, Stowell KM, Gillespie L. The use of PCR to detect *Neospora caninum* DNA in the blood of naturally infected cows. *Veterinary parasitology*. 2004 Aug 6;122(4):307-15.
- Khan A, Shaik JS, Sikorski P, Dubey JP, Grigg ME. Neosporosis: an overview of its molecular epidemiology and pathogenesis. *Engineering*. 2020 Feb 1;6(1):10-9.
- Ferroglio E, Pasino MA, Romano A, Grande D, Pregel P, Trisciuglio A. Evidence of *Neospora caninum* DNA in wild rodents. *Veterinary Parasitology*. 2007 Sep 30;148(3-4):346-9.
- Dellarupe A, Fitte B, Pardini L, Campero LM, Bernstein M, Robles MD, Moré G, Venturini MC, Unzaga JM. *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* infections in synanthropic

- rodents from Argentina. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária*. 2019 Jan;28:113-8.
21. Hůrková-Hofmannová L, Qablan MA, Jurankova J, Modrý D, Pialek J. A survey of *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* infecting house mice from a hybrid zone. *Journal of parasitology*. 2014 Feb 1;100(1):139-41.
22. Muradian V, Ferreira LR, Lopes EG, de Oliveira Esmerini P, de Jesus Pena HF, Soares RM, Gennari SM. A survey of *Neospora caninum* and *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in urban rodents from Brazil. *Journal of Parasitology*. 2012 Feb 1;98(1):128-34.
23. Nazari N, Shojaee S, Mohebbi M, Teimouri A, Ghadiri K, Raeghi S, Shiee MR, Azarakhsh Y, Bozorgomid A. *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* in brain tissue of rodents in North-West Iran. *Veterinary Medicine: Research and Reports*. 2019 Dec 20:223-7.
24. Macháčová T, Ajzenberg D, Žáková A, Sedlák K, Bártová E. *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* in wild small mammals: seroprevalence, DNA detection and genotyping. *Veterinary Parasitology*. 2016 Jun 15;223:88-90.
25. Japa O, Morand S, Karnchanabanthoeng A, Chaisiri K, Ribas A. Detection of *Neospora caninum* (Toxoplasmatidae) in wild small mammals from Thailand. *Folia Parasitologica*. 2018 Jan 1;65.
26. Hamzavi Y, Salimi Y, Ahmadi M, Adimi P, Falahi S, Bozorgomid A. Global prevalence of *Neospora caninum* in rodents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Veterinary Medicine and Science*. 2023 Sep;9(5):2192-200.
27. Coombs RS. Immediate IFN γ production determines host compatibility differences between *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* in mice (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pittsburgh).